IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 394 OF 2005

SMT. SUVARNA SATYAWAN BORKAR, MARGAO GOA ....Petitioner

Versus

COMMISIONER FOR WORKMENT'S COMPENSATION AND 3 ORS., ...Respondents

Shri A.V. Nigalye, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. G. Bhonsule, Aga For R-1
Shri E. Afonso, Advocate for R.4 - absent.

Coram:- R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

Date:- 2nd February, 2006

P.C.:

The learned Addl. Govt. Advocate appearing for the respondent No.1 states that as per the instructions received by her the Order dated 4th March, 2005 stands withdrawn and the Commissioner would hear the petitioner afresh before passing any further order in the matter. Learned Addl. Govt. Advocate also states that as per her instructions the Commissioner will also hear the petitioner on the point of claim regarding interest and thereafter will pass appropriate order.

The statements on behalf of Respondent No.1 are accepted. On the said statements being made, the learned Advocate for the Petitioner craves leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the Court if the occasion arises.

Petition allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of accordingly while accepting the said statements and with the said liberty. Needless to say that the respondent No.1 shall expedite the hearing of the matter and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible.

R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

sl.